



REJOINDER TO WISNIEWSKI ON EVICTIONISM, ROUND FOUR

EVIKCIONIZAM - REPLIKA VIŠNJEVSKOM, ČETVRTA RUNDA

Walter E. Block

Harold E. Wirth Eminent Scholar Endowed Chair and Professor of Economics,
Joseph A. Butt, S.J. College of Business, Loyola University New Orleans, LA

© MESTE NGO

JEL category: **K19**

Abstract

Evictionism means that a pregnant woman has the right to evict, but not kill, a fetus entirely at her discretion. Assume that the baby is viable outside the womb only during the last trimester. Then, evictionism implies the same result for the unwanted fetus in the first six months as pro-choice. That is, the mother may evict the fetus, not kill it, but the fetus will die. But for the last three months, the implications are the same as pro-life. That is, the mother may still evict the fetus, not kill it (no partial birth abortions would be allowed), but now the fetus can survive outside the womb. Wisniewski, a pro-lifer, and I have been battling over this concept for three iterations. Both of us are libertarians and hence start with the same basic premises. Nevertheless, we have not yet been able to resolve our differences, although we have both ranged widely and thoroughly throughout this challenging issue. The essay that follows is my most recent attempt to defend evictionism against his pro-life criticisms.

Keywords: *eviction; abortion; pro-life; pro-choice*

Apstrakt

Evikcionizam znači da trudnica ima pravo da iseli, ali ne da ubije, fetus u potpunosti prema svom nahođenju. Pretpostavimo da je beba održiva izvan materice samo tokom poslednjeg tromesečja. Tada, evikcionizam podrazumeva isti rezultat za neželjeni fetus u prvih šest meseci kao i "pro-choice" (pravo na izbor). To jest, majka može da izbaciti fetus, da ga ne ubije, ali fetus će umreti. Ali za poslednja tri meseca, implikacije su iste kao i "pro life" (pravo na život). U tom slučaju, majka može i dalje da izbaciti fetus, ne ubije ga, ali sada fetus može da preživi van materice. Višnjevski, pristalica ideje "pro-life", i ja smo se sporili oko ovog koncepta triput. Mi smo obojica libertarijanci i stoga počeli sa istim osnovnim postavkama. Ipak, još uvek nismo u stanju da rešimo naše razlike, iako smo

The address of the author:

Walter E. Block

[✉ wblock@loyno.edu](mailto:wblock@loyno.edu)

obojska vrlo široko i temeljno razmatrali ovo izazovno pitanje. Esej koji sledi je moj najnoviji pokušaj da odbranim evikcionizam od njegovih pro-life kritika.

Ključne reči: iseljenje, abortus, pro-life, pro-choice

How to cite this article?

Style – **APA Sixth Edition:**

Block, W. (2014, 07 15). Rejoinder to Wisniewski on evictionism, round four. (Z. Čekerevac, Ed.) *FBIM Transactions*, 2(2), 1-14. doi:10.12709/fbim.02.02.02.01

Style – **Chicago Fifteenth Edition:**

Block, W.E. 2014. "Rejoinder to Wisniewski on evictionism, round four." Edited by Zoran Čekerevac. *FBIM Transactions (MESTE) 2 (2): 1-14*. doi:10.12709/fbim.02.02.02.01.

Style – **GOST Name Sort:**

Block W.E Rejoinder to Wisniewski on evictionism, round four [Journal] // FBIM Transactions / ed. Čekerevac Zoran. - Beograd : MESTE, 07 15, 2014. - 2 : Vol. 2. - pp. 1-14.

Style – **Harvard Anglia:**

Block, W., 2014. Rejoinder to Wisniewski on evictionism, round four. *FBIM Transactions*, 15 07, 2(2), pp. 1-14.

Style – **ISO 690 Numerical Reference:**

Rejoinder to Wisniewski on evictionism, round four. **Block, W.E.** [ed.] Zoran Čekerevac. 2, Beograd : MESTE, 07 15, 2014, FBIM Transactions, Vol. 2, pp. 1-14.